## 

	NOTE FOR:	Deputy Director for Administration Associate Deputy Director for Administration
STAT	FROM:	Executive Officer to the DDA
	SUBJECT:	Meeting of the Group to Consider the Future of the CSI
STAT	generally emphasizin was that t	guidance, the first meeting of the group was held on A variety of discussions took place which I would characterize as in support of continuation of the Center for the Studies of Intelligence, g the need for top Agency management support. The general consensus he Center needs to be housed under an office in the DCI's area in e effective. My suggestion that NFAC be responsible for the Center
STAT	went over paper on v	like a lead balloon. was tasked with drawing up a arious proposals that were considered at the session. His paper ovided to me within the next couple of weeks and I will pass on same
		STA

TO: (Name, office symbullding, Agency/	bol, room number, Post)		Initials  J L	Date
a. ADDA			V	7-2
<b>DDA</b>			1	الان
•			150	-302
		•		
4.				
_				
5.		Note	urn	
Action	File	INVICE	Per Conversa	
Action Approval	Fila For Clearanca	<del>                                     </del>	Conversat	ion
		Per	Conversat	
Approval	For Clearanca	Per	are Reply	
Approval As Requested	For Clearanca For Correction	Per Prep	are Reply	

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions								
FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bidg.							
	Phone No.							

**STAT** 

	SENDER WILL CHE	CK CLASSIFI	ION TOP	ND BOT	TOM
rov(	ed Fuerasuse 20 OFFIC	CIAL ROUT			. <del>0008000</del> 140
тоТ	NAME AND	ADDRESS	DA	TE	INITIALS
•	-3DA Rep				
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
	ACTION	OIRECT REPL	Υ	PREPARE	
	APPROVAL	OISPATCH		RECOMME	NOATION
	COMMENT	FILE		RETURN	
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATIO	N	SIGNATUR	t
	marks:  FYI - AH  from m  CSI dis  Plan 70:  at 3:00	come come	to at	neet	ing
	Frons 3	9, to c	one	20°	w.th
	DDA Co ±NUVS 3/1 Some of	ther of	615W2	•	27/2
	Some of	HERE TO RETURE	RN TO SEN	•	DATE

STAT

Approved Fundamental Educations Portugues | Approved Fundamental Educations | Approved Fundamental Educations | Approved Fundamental Education | Approved Fundamental

Approved For Release 2003/08/13: CIA-RDP84B00890R000800010042-5
- Examine other possible procedures for making CIA
unclassified reference materials available,
including initiating discussions with the Department
of State.

_	Continue the exchanges between analysts and academics	,
	ensuring that analysts specify that the unclassified	
	analytical judgments they share are their own persona	1
	views, not those of the Agency.	

9. Status of the CSI. Admiral Inman summarized Mr. Fitzwater's paper outlining the ups and downs of the Center and its current need for additional support from the Directorates. Mr. Lehman, Chairman of the Center's Board of Advisors, emphasized that for the Center to be effective, it needs good, experienced officers to serve as fellows, a Director with considerable standing, and a strong Board of Advisors—preferably the Deputy Directors. Linking the Center to the Agency's decisionmaking channels would make it both more effective and a more career enhancing place to work.

10. Messrs. Lipton and Hugel favored eliminating the Center, using its existing resources for other purposes. Messrs. McMahon, Gates and Briggs suggested that the Center should be retained as a vehicle for asking basic questions about how the Agency does its business, organizing seminars facilitating the exchange of ideas between employees and senior managers, and developing and accumulating the basic literature of the intelligence profession. Suggestions for breathing new life into the Center included making it a responsibility of the Executive Committee and designing more flexible tours enabling fellows to work on short-term as well as long-term projects at the Center. In response to the DDCI's question, Mr. Lehman explained that the Studies in Intelligence and Contra publications could continue if the Center were disbanded.

11. Admiral Inman emphasized his belief that as the Agency rebuilds in the coming decade, it needs to focus on professionalism. He acknowledged that there were not many tools available in the Intelligence Community to sustain such an effort. From his past experience in using fellows similar to those at the Center, he found that they were valuable only if they were carefully selected, placed near the top of the organization, and able to see how the organization functions from that vantage point. He requested that the Office of Policy and Planning take a second look at the question of the Center to determine If there were other options for sustaining its work. He noted that he would be reluctant to place the Deputy Directors on the Center's Board of Advisors, given their heavy workload.

Robert M. Gates

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

J